Effects of variation in perfusion rates and of perfusion models in computational models of radio frequency tumor ablation
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Purpose: Finite element method (FEM) models are commonly used to simulate radio frequency (RF) tumor ablation. Prior FEM models of RF ablation have either ignored the temperature dependent effect of microvascular perfusion, or implemented the effect using simplified algorithms to reduce computational complexity. In this FEM modeling study, the authors compared the effect of different microvascular perfusion algorithms on ablation zone dimensions with two commercial RF electrodes in hepatic tissue. They also examine the effect of tissue type and inter-patient variation of perfusion on ablation zone dimensions. The base line perfusion was also varied to simulate the difference between normal and cirrhotic liver tissue. Results: The ablation zone volumes with the cessation above 60 °C perfusion algorithm and with the more complex Arrhenius model were up to 70% and 25% smaller, respectively, compared to the standard Arrhenius model. Ablation zone volumes were up to ~175% and ~100% different between the simulations where −1 and +1 standard deviation values of perfusion were used in normal and cirrhotic liver tissue, respectively. Conclusions: The choice of microvascular perfusion algorithm has significant effects on final ablation zone dimensions in FEM models of RF ablation. The authors also found that both interpatient variation in base line tissue perfusion and the reduction in perfusion due to cirrhosis have considerable effect on ablation zone dimensions. © 2008 American Association of Physicists in Medicine. [DOI: 10.1118/1.2948388]
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I. INTRODUCTION

Radio frequency (RF) ablation is a local treatment method for inoperable cancer of liver, as well as in other organs such as kidney, lung, bone, and adrenal gland.1–4 Computational finite element method (FEM) models of tumor ablation have been used in several previous studies to examine the impact of changes in tissue properties on temperature profiles5,6 and to examine the impact of microvascular7,8 and macrovascular perfusion.9 Microvascular perfusion occurs at the capillary level and affects the overall ablation zone size and shape,8,11,12 while macrovascular perfusion refers to the heat sink effect of large blood vessels that results in local ablation zone deviations.8,13,14 Both types of tissue perfusion are of particular importance in tumor ablation procedures, as they significantly influence ablation zone dimensions.

In this study, we examined the significance of microvascular perfusion implementation in FEM modeling of tumor ablation. While all previous studies have utilized the bioheat equation as conceived by Pennes et al. as the principal microvascular perfusion model,15 the implementation of temperature-dependent effects on perfusion has varied. Several models have assumed constant perfusion independent of temperature,9,10,16–22 while other studies have assumed either a threshold temperature above which perfusion is absent due to vascular coagulation,5,8,23 or cessation of perfusion according to a first-order kinetic Arrhenius model.7,24–26 However, it is well documented that during RF ablation, a hyperemic region exists near the ablation zone in tissue that is at hyperthermic temperatures (below ~45 °C),27 which results in increased perfusion mediated cooling in the tissue adjacent to the ablation zone.14,28,29 A more complex perfusion model that includes the effects of increased perfusion (up to 1.6×) in the hyperemic zone as well as subsequent perfusion cessation according to a first-order kinetic Arrhenius model has been included in a study on thermal injury of porcine kidney by He et al., where perfusion parameters were determined from in vivo measurements.30 While a similar study is...
not available for liver tissue, it has been shown that perfusion increases by a maximum factor of 1.5 in proximity to the ablation zone in a mouse liver model,\textsuperscript{28} which is similar to the maximum perfusion increase seen in the He et al. kidney study (1.6x).\textsuperscript{30}

The current study aims to answer the following questions:

1. How does the inclusion of the hyperemic region in the perfusion model implementation affect tissue temperature profile and ablation zone dimensions?

2. How do ablation zone dimensions change due to inter-patient variation of perfusion rates in both healthy and cirrhotic liver tissue?

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

II.A. FEM software

We used PATRAN 2005 r2 (The MacNeal–Schwendler Co., Los Angeles, CA) to create model geometries, perform meshing, and assign all temperature and voltage boundary conditions. The software generated data files suitable for use with ABAQUS/STANDARD 6.5 FEM solver software (Hibbitt, Karlsson, and Sorensen, Inc., Pawtucket, RI). We used the built-in POST module in ABAQUS for postprocessing to create profiles of electric potential, temperature, thermal damage, and survival fraction. All simulations were run on a Dell Optiplex GX620 workstation with 3 GB of RAM.

II.B. FEM model geometry

We created models of two different commercial RF electrodes in this study. The first was a three-dimensional (3D) model of a multi-tined expandable electrode (Rita Starburst XL, Angiodynamics, Queensbury, NY) (Fig. 1) which was placed in the center of a cylinder (320 mm high \times 320 mm diam) of normal liver tissue with the tines fully deployed to a length of 40 mm. Due to the symmetry of the electrode geometry, we only modeled a quarter of the cylinder to reduce computational time.

The second modeled electrode (Cool-tip, Valleylab, Boulder, CO) was internally cooled with an active length of 30 mm. This electrode was represented in a two-dimensional axisymmetric model (due to its geometry) in which the electrode was placed at the edge of a block of normal liver tissue 170 mm high with a radius of 100 mm.

The mesh parameters for both models are shown in Table I, and a close-up of the mesh at the tip of both electrode types is shown in Fig. 2. In both models, the distance between adjacent nodes increased with the distance from the RF electrode. We performed convergence tests with varying mesh size to ensure that no errors result due to insufficient element size. However, we did use a finer mesh than suggested by convergence tests in the two-dimensional (2D) model since simulation time was not an issue there.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode type</th>
<th>Model type</th>
<th>Element type</th>
<th>No. of nodes</th>
<th>No. of elements</th>
<th>Node spacing (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internally cooled</td>
<td>2D axisymmetric</td>
<td>Triangular (first order)</td>
<td>18 000</td>
<td>36 000</td>
<td>0.1–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-tined expandable</td>
<td>3D</td>
<td>Tetrahedral (first order)</td>
<td>35 000</td>
<td>189 000</td>
<td>0.1–8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
II.C. Electric field equations

During RF ablation, the applied alternating electric field results in tissue heating due to both resistive heating and dielectric losses (caused by the rotation of molecules in an alternating electric field). At radio frequencies, however, resistive heating due to conduction currents is the dominant heating mechanism and dielectric losses are negligible.31 Thus, we can determine the resulting electric field in the tissue from Laplace’s equation

$$\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla V = 0,$$

(1)

where $\sigma$ is the electrical tissue conductivity (S/m) and $V$ represents the electric potential (V). The boundary conditions for the electric field problem are

$$V(\delta M) = 0,$$

(2)

$$V_{el}(el) = f(t).$$

(3)

Equation (2) describes that ground potential (0 V) was applied to the model boundaries for both models. Equation (3) describes that a varying voltage was applied to the active part of the electrodes. The voltage was varied using a proportional-integral controller so that the maximum temperature at the hottest node of both models was 105 °C.

Electric field intensity $E$ (V/m) and current density $J$ (A/m²) are calculated from

$$E = - \nabla V,$$

(4)

$$J = \sigma E.$$  

(5)

The power density produced by the electric field is determined by the product of current density $J$ and electric field intensity $E$ [refer to Eq. (6), second term on right side].

II.D. Bioheat equation

The coupled thermal-electrical models that we created in this study used the Pennes bioheat equation [Eq. (3)] to determine tissue temperature profiles during RF ablation.15 The equation considers the effects of thermal conduction, resistive heating due to RF energy deposition and tissue cooling due to microvascular blood perfusion. In the absence of large blood vessels (i.e., macrovascular perfusion), the Pennes model has been shown to describe the effect of blood perfusion with acceptable accuracy.32

$$\rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) + \rho_b c_b w_{bl}(T - T_{bl}) + Q_m,$$

(6)

where $\rho$ is the density (kg/m³), $c$ is the specific heat [J/(kg K)], $k$ is the thermal conductivity [W/(m K)], $J$ is the current density (A/m²), $E$ is the electric field intensity (V/m), $T_{bl}$ is the temperature of blood (°C), $\rho_b$ is the blood density (kg/m³), $C_b$ is the specific heat of blood [J/(kg K)], $w_{bl}$ is the blood perfusion [m³/(m³ s)], and $Q_m$ is the metabolic heat production (W/m³).

The metabolic heat production per volume term $Q_m$ was ignored due to its relatively small size compared to the other terms.33

II.E. Thermal boundary conditions and material properties

The initial temperature for all elements and the boundary temperature were set at 37 °C. To simulate the internal cooling of the Cool-tip electrode, a boundary condition of 10 °C (typically seen during clinical procedures) was applied to the entire electrode shaft. We simulated ablation for 15 min in the multi-tined expandable model and for 12 min in the internally cooled model, which are standard clinical treatment times for both electrode systems. For the internally cooled electrode model, we also simulated (for 1 min) the post-ablation period where the internal cooling flow is deactivated to allow the ablation zone to fill in adjacent to the electrode.

Table II shows the material properties from the literature that were used in both models.34 The temperature dependence of the electrical conductivity of liver tissue was implemented as a coefficient of 1.5% °C⁻¹.35 Temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity of liver tissue was implemented according to ex vivo measurements from a prior study.34 The value of latent heat of vaporization for water was used for liver tissue as in previous studies.36,37 We did not include any changes in electrical tissue conductivity due to tissue vaporization above 100 °C because these data were unavailable.

II.F. Perfusion algorithms and experimental groups

The magnitude of the perfusion term $w_{bl}$ was varied with temperature using three different algorithms that have been previously utilized in thermal ablation models. In the first, the perfusion for each element was constant until the temperature crossed the 60 °C threshold, after which it was set to 0 for the remainder of the simulation (representing com-
The universal gas constant is the absolute temperature as a function of time, \( T(\tau) \) is the absolute temperature as a function of time, \( R \) is the universal gas constant (8.314 J mole\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), \( A \) is the frequency factor (1.98 \times 10^{106} \text{ s}^{-1}), \Delta E \) is the activation energy (6.67 \times 10^5 \text{ J mole}^{-1}), \( w(t) \) represents the time dependent perfusion, and \( w_b \) is the base line perfusion. The values for \( \Delta E \) and \( A \) were taken from a previous experimental study in muscle tissue. The third algorithm also used the first-order kinetic Arrhenius model of vascular stasis with the parameters shown above [Eqs. (7) and (8)], but also included an increase in relative perfusion when the degree of stasis is low (between 0 and 0.02) (Fig. 3). Since there are no studies showing perfusion versus degree of stasis in liver tissue, we used a curve determined experimentally by He et al. in a previous animal study in renal tissue.\(^3\)

In our model, the relative perfusion from Fig. 3 was separated into four linear approximations to reduce computational time [Eqs. (9)–(12)]. The average error for the approximations was \( \sim5\%\).

\[
w(t) = w_b(30 \cdot DS + 1),
\]  
\[
DS \leq 0.02,
\]
\[
w(t) = w_b(-13 \cdot DS + 1.86),
\]  
\[
0.02 < DS \leq 0.08,
\]
\[
w(t) = w_b(-0.79 \cdot DS + 0.884),
\]  
\[
0.08 < DS \leq 0.97,
\]
\[
w(t) = w_b(-3.87 \cdot DS + 3.87),
\]  
\[
0.97 < DS \leq 1.0.
\]  

We performed a total of 16 simulations in this study (Table III). For each electrode type, we ran a simulation using each of the three perfusion algorithms described above with a value for normal liver tissue perfusion according to data from measurements in humans.\(^3\) To examine the effect of intrapatient variation of hepatic perfusion, we also ran simulations using algorithm 3 (i.e., first-order kinetic Arrhenius model with increase in perfusion at low degree of vascular stasis) in normal liver tissue with perfusion values \( \pm 1 \) standard deviation from the base line value, based on human data.\(^3\) Additionally, we performed simulations with algorithm 3 (Fig. 3) in which we used the average and \( \pm 1 \) standard deviation values of perfusion for cirrhotic human liver tissue.\(^3\) We used the reported base line perfusion value for Child–Pugh class B cirrhosis in our models since RF tumor ablation is typically contraindicated for class C cirrhosis cases (i.e., the most severe cases).\(^3\)

### II.G. Ablation zone boundary calculation

To determine the boundaries of each simulated ablation zone, we utilized an Arrhenius damage model as shown in Eq. (13), where thermal damage \( \Omega(t) \) is related to the expected cellular survival fraction as shown in Eq. (14),

\[
\Omega(t) = \int_{0}^{t} Ae^{-\Delta E/RT(\tau)} d\tau, 
\]  
\[
\Omega(t) = -\ln[c(t)/c(0)], 
\]  

where \( A \) is the frequency factor (2.984 \times 10^{80} \text{ s}^{-1}), \Delta E \) is the activation energy (5.064 \times 10^5 \text{ J mole}^{-1}), \( T(\tau) \) is the absolute temperature as a function of time, \( c(t) \) represents the
concentration of living cells as a function of time, and $c(0)$ is the initial concentration of living cells. The values for $\Delta E$ and $A$ in Eq. (13) were shown in a previous experimental study to accurately predict the cell death boundary in an animal model when used with a threshold value of 4.6 for $\Omega(t)$ (which corresponds to 99.0% cell death). Note that while the equations used to calculate thermal damage [Eq. (13)] and degree of vascular stasis [Eq. (7)] have the same form, the optimal values for $A$ and $\Delta E$ (determined in experimental studies) are different in the two equations. For both electrode types, the axial and maximal transverse diameters were determined from the thermal damage profile using this threshold value. To determine ablation zone volume for the multi-tined electrode model, we created a custom program (Microsoft Visual C++, Redmond, WA) that calculated and summed the volumes of all elements that had at least one node above the thermal damage threshold. For the internally cooled electrode model, we manually selected a series of points along the thermal damage threshold; ablation zone cooled electrode model, we manually selected a series of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Relative perfusion</th>
<th>$W_{th}$ (mL/min 100 mL)</th>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Axial diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Maximal transverse diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Volume (cm$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internally cooled</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internally cooled</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>19.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internally cooled</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>17.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internally cooled</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>+1 sigma</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>14.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internally cooled</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>−1 sigma</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>22.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internally cooled</td>
<td>Cirrhotic</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>23.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internally cooled</td>
<td>Cirrhotic</td>
<td>+1 sigma</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>18.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internally cooled</td>
<td>Cirrhotic</td>
<td>−1 sigma</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>31.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-tined expandable*</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>12.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-tined expandable*</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>40.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-tined expandable*</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>32.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-tined expandable*</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>+1 sigma</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>17.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-tined expandable*</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>−1 sigma</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>49.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-tined expandable*</td>
<td>Cirrhotic</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>52.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-tined expandable*</td>
<td>Cirrhotic</td>
<td>+1 sigma</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>37.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-tined expandable*</td>
<td>Cirrhotic</td>
<td>−1 sigma</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>73.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Axial diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Maximal transverse diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Volume (cm$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>19.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>17.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>14.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>22.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>23.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>18.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>31.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>12.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>40.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>32.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>17.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.90</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>49.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.98</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>52.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>37.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>73.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. DISCUSSION

Computational heat-transfer models have been frequently used to determine tissue temperature profile during ablation therapies as well as hyperthermia therapies. To date, most of these models have included microvascular tissue perfusion according to Pennes’ bioheat equation, since this perfusion model is simple to implement and has been shown to accurately describe blood perfusion in the absence of large vessels. However, the modeling of temperature-dependent effects on tissue perfusion has varied between studies. In this study, we compared different implementations of temperature-dependent perfusion that were utilized in prior studies. In particular, one of the temperature-dependent perfusion algorithms that we investigated, which was based on data from a previous in vivo study in renal tissue, included the effect of hyperemia that occurs adjacent to the ablation zone boundary during thermal ablation. Although the existence of this hyperemic region has been well documented, its effect on the formation of the ablation zone has not been included in any previous computational models of hepatic tumor ablation. For all simulations, we used an Arrhenius type damage model to determine ablation...
zone boundary as has been used in previous studies. 25,26,30,43

The ablation zone boundary correlated with final tissue temperatures in the range of 48–50 °C in our models.

Since hepatic RF ablation protocols generally call for the ablation (i.e., coagulation) of both the tumor and a ~1 cm margin of surrounding normal liver tissue, in most cases the entire tumor will reach temperatures that will cause coagulation, leaving the tumor devoid of perfusion at some point during the ablation. Therefore, the perfusion of normal liver tissue (i.e., the tissue at the final boundary of the ablation zone) is likely more significant than the tumor perfusion in determining the tissue temperature profile and final ablation zone dimensions. Thus, in this study, we only considered a single tissue type in each model with one base line perfusion rate for all tissue in the model.

Overall, the ablation zone dimensions from our simulations with the internally cooled electrode in normal tissue with algorithm 3 (Table IV) were near the average values reported in previous in vivo experiments in a porcine liver model. 48 The ablation zone dimensions from our simulated ablations with the multi-tined expandable electrode in normal tissue with algorithm 3 (Table IV) were slightly larger (18% and 30% for transverse and axial diameters, respectively) than those reported in another in vivo porcine liver experiment. 49 The ablation zone sizes are, however, smaller compared to those reported in clinical literature, 48 likely due to reduced blood flow in tumors compared to normal liver as suggested by a recent study. 50

We found that ablation zone dimensions after 12 min were considerably different between the three perfusion algorithms with both electrode types (Table IV, Fig. 6), with more pronounced differences in the multi-tined expandable electrode trials. The simulations using algorithm 1 in particular produced markedly (as much as 70%) lower ablation zone dimensions.
volumes than the simulations that were based on the Arrhenius model (algorithms 2 and 3). Additionally, the use of the standard Arrhenius perfusion model (algorithm 2) resulted in ablation zone volumes that were as much as 25% larger than the simulations that used the more complex Arrhenius model that included the effect of the hyperemic region (algorithm 3). Due to these large differences, it is evident the choice of perfusion algorithm for RF tumor ablation models is important in generating accurate results.

In addition to comparing different temperature-dependent tissue perfusion implementations, we also investigated how interpatient variation in tissue perfusion affects the ablation zone geometry during hepatic RF ablation (using algorithm 3 as presented in He et al.\textsuperscript{36}). A previous study examined how perfusion affects the 50 °C-isotherm (a commonly used estimate for the ablation zone boundary) over a wide range of perfusion values [0–60 mL/min/(100 mL)].\textsuperscript{8} They found that increased tissue perfusion reduced both the 50 °C-isotherm diameter and time required to reach thermal equilibrium. In the current study we investigated a more narrow range of perfusion values (based on average values measured in human patients) to determine how variation in perfusion between different patients may affect ablation zone dimensions.\textsuperscript{39} The values shown in Table IV and depicted graphically in Figs. 7 and 8 represent the typical variation in ablation zone dimensions that can be expected due to differences in perfusion between patients. For normal liver tissue, we found that ablation zone volume increased by \textasciitilde175% and 55% for the multi-tined and internally cooled electrodes, respectively, as the perfusion rate was decreased from one standard deviation above to one standard deviation below the average rate (an interval which represents \textasciitilde70% of the population). This suggests that ablation zones created by the multi-tined electrode are more susceptible to variation in the perfusion rate than those created with the internally cooled electrode.

In addition to changes in perfusion rates among patients, perfusion may be purposely reduced via pharmacologic modulation to obtain large ablation zones.\textsuperscript{51} Computational models such as the ones presented may be used to estimate the impact of pharmacologic modulation if the magnitude of reduction in perfusion by a specific agent is known.

In the study that provided the base line perfusion values for the current work, patients with Child–Pugh class B cirrhosis had \textasciitilde36% lower hepatic perfusion than the control patients (Table III).\textsuperscript{39} The lower perfusion rate of cirrhotic tissue is of particular importance for RF tumor ablation because approximately 90% of patients with primary liver cancer (HCC) suffer from cirrhosis.\textsuperscript{52} Table IV shows how ablation zone volume and diameters were affected when perfusion was varied by \textasciitilde1 standard deviation around the base line values reported in the previous study in cirrhotic tissue.\textsuperscript{39} We found that ablation zone volume increased by 97% and 68% for the multi-tined and internally cooled electrodes, respectively, over the perfusion range in this tissue type. Note that for both electrode types and both tissue types, an increase in perfusion had a greater effect on the maximal transverse diameter than it did on the axial diameter (Table IV, Figs. 7 and 8).

Due to the lower perfusion rates in cirrhotic patients, larger ablation zones were produced in cirrhotic liver tissue than in normal liver tissue (Table IV, Fig. 9). However, as mentioned in Sec. II H, it is important to consider that thermal and electrical tissue properties may be different in cirrhotic liver tissue than in normal liver tissue, which may affect tissue temperature profiles and consequently final ab-

---

**Fig. 7.** Comparison of ablation zone dimensions (based on 99.0% cell death isocontour) in normal liver tissue using three different perfusion rates with (a) the multi-tined expandable electrode model and (b) the internally cooled needle electrode model. The dark gray line represents base line perfusion, while the light gray and black lines denote \textasciitilde one standard deviation perfusion, respectively.

**Fig. 8.** Comparison of ablation zone dimensions (based on 99.0% cell death isocontour) in cirrhotic liver tissue using three different perfusion rates with (a) the multi-tined expandable electrode model and (b) the internally cooled needle electrode model. The dark gray line represents base line perfusion, while the light gray and black lines denote \textasciitilde one standard deviation perfusion, respectively.

**Fig. 9.** Comparison of ablation zone dimensions (based on 99.0% cell death isocontour) for normal and cirrhotic liver tissue with their respective base line perfusion rates in (a) the multi-tined expandable electrode model and (b) the internally cooled needle electrode model. The black line represents normal liver tissue and the gray line represents cirrhotic liver tissue.
oration zone dimensions. Additionally, tissue perfusion varies not only between patients, but also varies between different locations in the liver of each individual patient. A pretreatment protocol utilizing patient- and site-specific measurement of tissue perfusion could allow for more accurate prediction of final ablation zone dimensions using computational models similar to those presented in this study.

V. CONCLUSION

We compared different perfusion implementations in computational models of RF tumor ablation and found that the inclusion of a hyperemic zone considerably changes tissue temperature profile in computational models of tumor ablation compared to a first order kinetic model only including cessation of perfusion. A model that assumes cessation above a threshold temperature is likely not sufficient as it does not consider the exponential time-temperature relationship of microvascular perfusion cessation. We also found that both interpatient variation in base line tissue perfusion and the reduced perfusion found in cirrhotic liver tissue observed in this study.

We compared different perfusion implementations in computational models similar to those presented in this study.

We compared different perfusion implementations in computational models similar to those presented in this study.
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